

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,341	12/17/2003	Luc Lemmens	1316N-001643	9708
27572 7.	590 03/15/2005		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ		3683	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/738,341	LEMMENS, LUC	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement		
Application Papers	1,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		–	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	· ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		oction No	
	• •		1
		eived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.ivad	
	st of the certified copies not rece	W.11011 n	مل انجوا
Attachment(s)		/ // Ago CHAN	Š
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	.42
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.		ary (PTO-413) Il Date al Patent Application (ATO-152)PHRY Craffill	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 3	

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement has been received and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Molina '239 in view of Buma et al. '554.

Regarding claim 1 De Molina '239 discloses a suspension damping system as clearly seen in figures 1 and 3 but lacks a specific discussion of a distance determining means between the unsprung portion and vehicle chassis.

The reference to Buma et al. is relied upon to teach such systems are notoriously well known in the art. Please see col. 4 last paragraph and elements 80-86.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have modified the device of De Molina et al. with a height or distance sensor as taught by Buma to add an additional element of ride control to the vehicle. Such may be the case when the vehicle is expected to carry additional loads or to be used for towing applications.

Regarding claims 2,3,9 as can easily be seen in figures 1 and 3 of De Molina these requirements are met. Note the valve assembly at 110.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,341

Art Unit: 3683

4. Claims 4-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Molina in view of Buma as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of either Heinz et al. or Patzenhauer et al. '885.

Regarding claim 4 De Molina, as modified above, lacks specifically showing the valve assembly 110 having open and closed positions through the interaction of elements 136 and 160, as discussed in col. 6.

However it would have been obvious to have either modified the valve accordingly such that the valve exhibited such characteristics at 136, 160 or to have modified the internals of the valve, as suggested by either Heinz or Patzenhauer such that the valve could be opened and closed upon specific pressure changes imparted thereto to regulate fluid flow between the chambers. Such an obvious modification would simply depend upon the ride characteristics desired from the shock absorber/suspension system.

The limitations of claims 5-8,10-16 are considered to be met in view of the modification and/or the combined teachings of the references above.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited have been cited for showing other types of suspension control arrangements with height sensors..
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 3/8/05